

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.3813 OF 2004.

IN

SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.244 OF 2003

IN

SUMMARY SUIT NO.3495 OF 2002

Reeshab Teelak Chemical Pvt. Ltd. ... Plaintiffs.

vs.

Land Mark Processors and another. Defendants.

Mr.D.P.Shah, for Plaintiffs.

Mr.Ajay Mishra i/b. Ajay Mishra & Co., for Defendants.

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH,J.

DATED: 13th January, 2006

P.C.:-

1. Heard the learned Counsel for both the sides. It appears that the defendants were in the process of changing their Lawyer as the papers were returned by the earlier lawyer. In the meantime the suit was placed for hearing. The defendants did not remain present. The

defendants are willing to pay costs. In this view of the matter therefore, the notice of motion is granted in terms of prayer clause (a) subject to the condition that within a period of two weeks from today the defendants pay to the plaintiffs as and by way of cost of this notice of motion Rs.5000/- .
